Case 1:13-cv-01548-KBF Document 11 Filed 06/05/13 Page 1 of 2

5 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: JUN 0 5 20
	•	<u> </u>
DAVID A. CARTER, individually and on	•	
behalf of all others similarly situated,	:	
	:	13-CV-1548 (KBF)
Plaintiff,	•	
-V-	:	$\overline{\text{ORDER}}$
	:	
	:	
ELC, LLC d/b/a SELECT EXPRESS &	•	
LOGISTICS,	•	
LOGISTIOS,	•	
	•	
	•	
D. C 1	*	
${\bf Defendant}.$	v	
TARTIDDING D. FORDIGE D	X	
KATHERINE B. FORREST, District Judg	e:	

This action was recently transferred to the undersigned. Plaintiff brought the action under the FLSA and New York State labor laws. The Court has now been advised that the parties have reached a settlement.

The FLSA imposes an obligation to pay unpaid overtime compensation and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. See 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). In the event that a settlement does not require full payment of that amount, it must be scrutinized by the Court to ensure that it is fair. See, e.g., Elliott v. Allstate Investigations, Inc., No. 07 Civ. 6078 (DLC), 2008 WL 728648 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2008).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the parties submit any proposed settlement agreement to the Court for its approval no later than June 17, 2013. The parties shall also on or before that date make submissions in support of their Case 1:13-cv-01548-KBF Document 11 Filed 06/05/13 Page 2 of 2

settlement, explaining why it should be approved based on the issues described in

Elliott. With regard to the FLSA claim, such submissions shall provide for any

plaintiff (1) the amount of unpaid overtime compensation claimed on his or her

FLSA claim; (2) the amount of the settlement consideration attributable to the

FLSA claim; and (3) any weaknesses, strengths, uncertainties or other

circumstances with regard to the FLSA claim, or its prosecution, that affected the

corresponding portion of the settlement consideration. In addition, the submission

shall (4) set forth the amount of any award of attorney's fees and the specific basis

for that fee calculation (e.g., hourly rate, number of hours, and any contractual

limitations on the fee award).

In light of the parties' settlement, the Clerk of Court is hereby directed to

deny as most the motion to compel arbitration at ECF No. 5.

SO ORDERED:

Dated:

New York, New York

June 5, 2013

KATHERINE B. FORREST

United States District Judge